



Transforming the legal review process for agile teams **BLUEFACE**

Jay Gitomer CEDTL

Transforming the legal review process for agile teams

Challenge: Resource Drain

Collecting screens for legal review is a burden on developers, content strategists, and team leaders.

Man-hours spent on most recent review:

66 hours of content strategist's time, chasing slides, explaining needs, waiting for help, reviewing screenshots, requesting replacement screenshots, assembling document, annotating document, updating legal SME, shepherding revisions, running QA, etc.

18 hours of developers' time

About ~6 hours of various other people's time

16 hours of legal team's time

Current cost per annum: \$576,000

Cost: ~\$12,000 per review per team

Frequency: ~Monthly

Number of teams: Currently 4, soon to be 25

Transforming the legal review process for agile teams

Challenge: Time to Market

The legal team struggles to understand the context of each flow. Their **confusion leads to wasted time** as they try to understand the logic of the screens. They ask questions about functions beyond the scope of legal review, but they don't know their questions are unnecessary until they ask.

Transforming the legal review process for agile teams

Challenge: Scalability Constraints

The most recent review was exceptionally large. However, we are iterative, and we can expect that future reviews will occasionally be as large.

We're not the only CEDTL team striving to work better with the legal team. The other journeys that are already here and the ones coming on board in upcoming quarters will all experience the same issues as we do.

We should address this now because it's not going to get better on its own.

Transforming the legal review process for agile teams

Solution: Buy or Build a Better Way

A flowchart that lets users attach files to decision points would help the legal team understand context. Workflow functions would automate the feedback process.

Buy

Only one product could be found that allows attachments. <u>Edraw Flowchart Maker</u> is \$2450 for a perpetual license for 50 users. This product would speed time to market, but since it lacks workflow automation or other advanced features, it doesn't solve our triad of troubles.

Build

The alternative is to build a system that serves our unique needs now and in the future.

Transforming the legal review process for agile teams

Solution: BlueFace

BlueFace is a system designed to work in both the unique environment of the lab and the traditional environment of the legal review team.

BlueFace Benefits

- Stakeholders can provide input and become involved with the dev process so they have a sense of ownership and a desire for the product to succeed
- The system can be accessed via TRP's SSO system for ease and a good CX for the legal team
- Built-in features can introduce Legal to some digital services (risk categorization, pre-approved content) so they are somewhat more prepared when the digital transformation reaches their department. That's good for T. Rowe Price overall.

Transforming the legal review process for agile teams



Transforming the legal review process for agile teams

Front End: Ready for review



CEDTL Back End: Adding screenshots

	Drag and drop file or click to browse	
	Add label	
	Distribution options	
	What is the parent file? Select Top	
-		

Transforming the legal review process for agile teams



Legal Team Back End: Comment features



Transforming the legal review process for agile teams



Front End: Review workflow

After review, labels turn red. Only screens waiting review are green.

A screen that has been reviewed and returned by legal for more changes is yellow. A number in the corner displays the number of the current review cycle.

All users can access messages about updates to their workflow through a message link in the static navigation (*not shown here*).

Transforming the legal review process for agile teams

The Trials & Tribulations of Collation

While most people would assume that negotiating details with legal is the hardest part of the legal review process, the real friction occurs in the collection and collation of screenshots. Managing screenshots is:

- Time-consuming
- An error-prone process
- Difficult to govern over multiple revisions

Legal review is not the only reason we collect screenshots. We also share them with the TRP proofreading team and use them ourselves to identify gaps and redundancies in our flows.

We need an easy way to create screenshot collections that we can use with confidence.

Transforming the legal review process for agile teams

CEDTL Back End: Collation features



Transforming the legal review process for agile teams

Pre-Approved Content Library: Over		Expect delivery in 5 to 7 days via First-	We re-use some small pieces of content regularly. Content strategists struggle
	▶ laigd18* E Page 1 00%2017 11:40:53 AM Legal comment: Copy will need to be revised. *after processing does not provide a frame of reference for timing of the express delivery being initiated. Suggest Leeping It Might hevel. For example, providing a link to might hevel. For example, providing a link to > page 1 09:05:2017 43:043 PM Insert Delivery after Express > page 1 09:05:2017 43:021 PM Based on an email from Rebecca Honaker Danking team) dated August 2, 2017 at 11:45 and. He thred State Postal Service (USPS) picks up check from our vendor at 4:30 ET (in Hyde Park, MA) ET. Based on th	Express delivery takes 2 to 3 days after Net Unrealized Assets, which are asset Please talk to your tax adviser or legal Your self-directed brokerage account Your plan requires a XX-day waiting pe Your plan may offer additional distribu I understand that if my plan balance is I certify that the information I provide Please carefully read the notice of you Checks are generally sent to the addre If you have questions or would like to + Add preapproved content Library	 to ensure consistency Legal team wastes time checking same phrases repeatedly A library of pre-approved content will resolve those issues and drive: Consistency across journeys
Send to: Autofill reviewer by name or speciality Or select from list of legal reviewers	Save		 Knowledge transfer from legal to content strategists A faster legal review process.

Transforming the legal review process for agile teams



Transforming the legal review process for agile teams

Pre-Approved Content Library: Content approval workflow

When content is added, an alert is sent to the content strategist, who will check for grammar, sentence structure, and consistency.

Admin can edit the new content to improve grammar or sentence structure.

Legal creator can check the changes for correctness.



Transforming the legal review process for agile teams



Transforming the legal review process for agile teams

Roles: Overview

- UX Designer
- QA
- Content Strategist
- Legal

Transforming the legal review process for agile teams

Roles: UX Designer

• Creates and updates the flow during the development process

Currently, we only capture the flows on paper, which prevents us from sharing or re-using them. We should be capturing them digitally as a best practice anyway.

Transforming the legal review process for agile teams

Roles: QA

• When a QA person signs off on a screen, they take a screenshot and upload it

QA currently looks at every screen, so this additional step should be easy to add into their process.

Transforming the legal review process for agile teams

Roles: Content Strategist

- Shepherds the process, ensuring that everyone is doing their part
- Manages roles and permissions in the application
- Annotates screenshots as necessary to help provide context for legal team
- Revises content in response to legal's guidance and reports back to them on completion
- Uploads collated screen collection to AdMaster
- Reviews and revises library of pre-approved content for grammar, sentence structure, and consistency.

Transforming the legal review process for agile teams

Roles: Legal team

- Each team's legal SME is the only person who can mark a screenshot as *Approved*
- Legal SME directs screenshots to specialized legal reviewers as necessary and follows up to ensure timely responses
- Legal SME works with specialists to ensure risk is properly categorized
- Legal SME and specialists can all add to library of pre-approved content





